

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF HOUSING POLICY DEVELOPMENT**

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 500
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 263-2911 / FAX (916) 263-7453
www.hcd.ca.gov



August 25, 2022

Tony Boren, Executive Director
Fresno Council of Governments
2035 Tulare Street, Suite 201
Fresno, CA 93721

Dear Tony Boren:

**RE: Review of Adopted Final Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA)
Methodology**

Thank you for submitting the adopted final Fresno Council of Government's (Fresno COG) Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) Methodology. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(i), the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) may review adopted final RHNA methodologies and report its findings to the council of governments.

On May 13, HCD reviewed Fresno COG's draft RHNA methodology pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(i) and found that it furthered the statutory objectives described in Government Code 65584(d). In July, Fresno COG made changes to the draft allocation methodology that lowered the total allocation to unincorporated county and redistributed those units to the incorporated cities. On July 28, the Fresno COG Policy Board passed a resolution to adopt the revised methodology with a cap on the unincorporated county's allocation.

The adopted final RHNA methodology begins with the total regional determination provided by HCD of 58,298 units. The methodology then establishes base allocations according to each jurisdiction's regional share of (1) existing 2021 population and (2) forecasted growth from 2020 to 2050. Both factors are weighted equally.

Next, the methodology uses five weighted factors to adjust the total RHNA for each jurisdiction:

- Percentage of non-vacant housing units (weighted at 35%) – This factor allocates more RHNA to jurisdictions with higher percentages of non-vacant housing relative to their total housing stock according to Department of Finance data.
- TCAC Opportunity Score (weighted at 20%) – This factor allocates more RHNA to jurisdictions with higher average scores across census geographies within each jurisdiction based on TCAC/HCD opportunity data.
- Regional share of 2020 jobs (weighted at 12.5%) – This factor allocates more RHNA to jurisdictions with higher percentages of jobs relative to the county according to California Employment Development Department data.

–continued on next page–

–continued from previous page–

- Regional share of projected jobs (weighted at 12.5%) – This factor allocates more RHNA to jurisdictions with higher anticipated shares of the county’s jobs between 2020 and 2035 based on Fresno COG growth forecasts.
- Percent of developable land (weighted at 20%) – This factor allocates more RHNA to jurisdictions with more land that is not:
 - Protected farmland according to the California Department of Conservation’s Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program or land registered as Williamson Act land by Fresno County
 - Sensitive wildlife habitat or wetland according to the National Wetlands Inventory
 - Within state parks, national parks, or national forest
 - Critical habitats according to the California Natural Diversity Database
 - Areas at high risk of environmental hazards such as flooding (Federal Emergency Management Agency’s National Flood Hazard Layer), wildfire (CAL FIRE’s Fire and Resource Assessment Program designations), erosion (US Department of Agriculture’s Soil Survey Geographic Database), and earthquakes (US Geological Survey’s fault location data and Alquist-Priolo zones)

The final adopted methodology then reduces the total allocation to the unincorporated county to 2,350 units. This is a 1,357-unit reduction from the unincorporated county’s allocation in the previous draft allocation methodology. Those 1,357 units are then distributed to the incorporated cities based on each city’s share of the total regional allocation – excluding the county’s allocation. For example, if the City of Clovis received 16 percent of the region’s RHNA for incorporated cities per Fresno COG’s total RHNA allocation methodology, it would receive 16 percent of the 1,357 units.

Lastly, the methodology uses an “Income-Shift approach” to distribute the total RHNA for each jurisdiction across the four income tiers. This adjustment applies a 150% multiplier to the difference between the percentage of units in the income tier of the Regional Determination provided by HCD and each jurisdiction’s existing share of units in the income tier.

HCD has completed its review of the methodology and finds that the adopted final Fresno COG RHNA Methodology furthers the statutory objectives described in Government Code 65584(d).¹ Fresno COG’s final methodology directs more lower income RHNA into cities with higher incomes, resources, and housing costs, and cities with higher disparities between lower income jobs and affordable units. The final methodology’s income shift also increases the number of lower income units going to higher income areas as a percentage of their total allocation. HCD commends Fresno

–continued on next page–

¹ While HCD finds this methodology furthers statutory objectives, applying this methodology to another region or cycle may not necessarily further the statutory objectives as housing conditions and circumstances may differ.

–continued from previous page–

COG for including factors in the final methodology linked to the statutory objectives such as TCAC/HCD Opportunity Scores.

Below is a summary of findings related to each statutory objective described within Government Code Section 65584(d):

1. Increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability in all cities and counties within the region in an equitable manner, which shall result in each jurisdiction receiving an allocation of units for low- and very low-income households.

On a per household basis, the final methodology allocates more lower income RHNA to cities with more higher income households. Cities with higher housing costs also receive more RHNA on a per household basis. Lastly, jurisdictions with higher home ownership rates and higher percentages of single-family homes receive a higher percentage of lower income RHNA relative to their total allocation.

2. Promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region's greenhouse gas reductions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.

The final methodology encourages more efficient development by including regional growth forecasts to determine each jurisdiction's base allocation, as well as by including two employment factors. Jurisdictions with access to more jobs via a 30-minute commute receive more RHNA per household and more total RHNA. Jurisdictions with access to more jobs via a 45-minute transit commute also receive more total RHNA. Further, jurisdictions with lower annual household VMT, on average, receive more RHNA per household.

3. Promoting an improved intraregional relationship between jobs and housing, including an improved balance between the number of low-wage jobs and the number of housing units affordable to low-wage workers in each jurisdiction.

On average, the final methodology allocates the most total RHNA to jurisdictions with lower income jobs-housing fit ratios over 1.5 (1.5 low-wage jobs for every affordable housing unit). Those jurisdictions also receive more lower income RHNA relative to household share. Jurisdictions with lower income jobs-housing fit ratios below 1.5 receive smaller lower income RHNA allocations relative to household share.

4. Allocating a lower proportion of housing need to an income category when a jurisdiction already has a disproportionately high share of households in that income category, as compared to the countywide distribution of households in that category from the most recent American Community Survey.

–continued on next page–

–continued from previous page–

On average, jurisdictions with a larger existing share of lower income households receive smaller allocations of low- and very low-income units as a percentage of the total RHNA. For jurisdictions with higher shares of lower income households, the average lower income allocation is 35 percent of total RHNA. The average lower income allocation for cities with smaller percentages of lower income households is 46 percent.

5. Affirmatively furthering fair housing, which means taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws.

Cities with more access to opportunity receive larger total RHNA and lower income allocations on a per household basis. Further, cities with more low-resource and high-segregation/poverty areas receive smaller total RHNA and lower income allocations per household. More specifically, cities with at least 50 percent low-resource and high-segregation/poverty areas receive a share of the lower income RHNA that is, on average, 86 percent of their share of households, compared to 116 percent for other cities.

HCD appreciates the active role of Fresno COG staff – particularly Meg Prince – in providing data and input throughout the Fresno COG RHNA methodology development and review period. HCD also thanks Andrea Howard, David Early, and Allison Giffin of Placeworks for their significant efforts and assistance.

HCD looks forward to continuing our partnership with Fresno COG to help its member jurisdictions meet and exceed the planning and production of the region’s housing need. Support opportunities available for the Fresno COG region this cycle include, but are not limited to:

- Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) 2.0 – \$600 million of state and federal investment to advance implementation of adopted regional plans. REAP 2.0 funding may be used for planning and implementation that accelerates infill housing development and reduces per capita vehicle miles traveled. <https://hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/reap2.shtml>.
- Prohousing Designation Program – Ongoing awards distributed over-the-counter to local jurisdictions with compliant Housing Elements and prohousing policies. Those awarded receive additional points or

–continued on next page–

–continued from previous page–

preference when applying to housing and non-housing funding programs including the Affordable Housing & Sustainable Communities (AHSC), Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG), and Transformative Climate Communities (TCC).

- HCD also encourages all Fresno County local governments to consider the many other affordable housing and community development resources available to local governments, including the Permanent Local Housing Allocation program. HCD's programs can be found at <https://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/nofas.shtml>.

If HCD can provide any additional assistance, or if you, or your staff, have any questions, please contact Annelise Osterberg, Housing Policy Specialist at (916) 776-7540 or annelise.osterberg@hcd.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Tyrone Buckley
Assistant Deputy Director of Fair Housing